Essay 1: Summary/Response FYW Program, Dept. of English, University of West Georgia

Category/Grade	Α	В	C	D F	Assessment
Summary & Critical Reading (25%)	 Fairly and fully introduces the larger issue/controversy based on research. (8.3) Neutrally and accurately synthesizes the main ideas and argument of the source text, along with key supporting claims, in original language. (8.3) Demonstrates deep intellectual engagement with and understanding of material. (8.3) 	 Fairly introduces the larger issue/controversy based on research (7.3). Accurately summarizes the source text's main argument in original language, may overlook one or two key supporting points. (7.3) Demonstrates engagement with and solid understanding of material. (7.3) 	 Introduces the larger issue/or controversy, but the scope and/or connections to the research are limited. (6.3) Accurately summarizes the source text's main argument in original language though summary may be overly general, vague or overlook key supporting claims.(6.3) Demonstrates surface level engagement with material and limited, if accurate, understanding. (6.3) 	 Presents a surface level and/or bias view of the larger issue/ controversy. (5.3) Captures some of the source text's main ideas, though missing a summary of the larger argument. Most in original language. (5.3) Little engagement with text and/or partial understanding of material. May interject opinion rather than neutrally summarizing the author's ideas. (5.3) Demonstrates little to no engagement with the text and/or inaccurate understanding. (4.3) 	
	25	22	19	16 13	
Response/Thesis (25%)	 The thesis demonstrates insightful engagement with and a clear, detailed, compelling argument in response to the text, regarding its effectiveness or significance. (12.5) Body paragraphs are persuasive, logically sound, and keep both the source text and larger issue/controversy in context. (12.5) 	 The thesis demonstrates engagement with text and a clear compelling argument in response to the text, regarding its effectiveness or significance, though may be lacking in specificity or detail. (11) Body paragraphs are persuasive and logically sound, though connections to the larger issue/controversy are limited. (11) 22 	 The thesis clearly responds to text, though argument may be vague or lacking in critical engagement. (9.5) Body paragraphs are logically sound, though connections to both the larger issue/controversy and the source text lack depth. (9.5) 	 □ The thesis lacks clarity or a clear argument/engagement with text. (8) □ Body paragraphs make some general connections to the issue and source text, but they contain flawed logic and/or bias that impede the response's effectiveness. (8) □ 16 No discernable thesis. Little to no evidence of engagement with or understanding of the text. (6.5) □ Body paragraphs make some general connections to the issue and source text, but they contain flawed logic and/or bias that impede the response's effectiveness. (8) 	
Organization & Development (20%)	Provides an effective organizational structure that includes sophisticated introductory and conclusion paragraphs.	 Provides an organizational structure that includes an effective introduction and conclusion. (8) 	Includes an introduction and conclusion, though some development may be needed. (7)	□ Includes an introduction and conclusion, yet they contain ambiguities or irrelevant information. (6) □ The essay lacks an organizational strategy on both the paragraph and sentence levels.	

	 (10) All body paragraphs include well-developed summary and critique with strong topic sentences, effective sequencing of ideas and smooth transitions. (10) 20 	 Body paragraphs include well-developed summary and critique with effective topic sentences, sequencing of ideas and transitions, though some development may be needed. (8) 	 Body paragraphs include summary and critique, most containing recognizable topic sentences. Sequencing of ideas and transitions may be illogical or lacking at times. (7) 	 Body paragraphs include summary and critique, but sequencing of ideas is illogical or hard to follow. Ineffective transitions. (6) 	10	
Style, Grammar & Syntax (20%)	 Tone is rhetorically effective, and ideas are clearly articulated using precise word choice and varied sentence structures.(10) Grammar, spelling, and punctuation are conventionally appropriate with very few errors, none of which interferes with coherence.(10) 	 Tone is rhetorically effective, and ideas are clearly articulated using precise word choice and varied sentence structures, though some sentences may include minor phrasing or word choice issues.(8) Contains no distracting patterns of grammar, spelling and punctuation errors.(8) 	 The essay has no pervasive pattern of sentence-level incoherence, but tone is inconsistent, sometimes lacking sentence variety and effective word choice. (7) Includes some distracting, but not serious, problems in grammar, spelling and punctuation. (7) 	 The essay contains patterns of sentence-level incoherence and lacks sentence variety and effective word choice.(6) Includes many distracting errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation. (6) 	 Serious sentence-level incoherence, no sentence variety and ineffective word choice. (5) Grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors obstruct meaning.(5) 	
	20	16	14	12	10	
Format & Basic Requirements (10%)	Adheres to <i>all</i> assignment requirements regarding MLA formatting, length, and topic.	Adheres to assignment requirements concerning length and topic with very few MLA formatting issues.	Mostly adheres to assignment requirements concerning length and topic with few MLA formatting issues.	Fails to adhere to essay length or topic requirements and includes consistent MLA formatting issues.	Fails to adhere to all assignment requirements, the prompt, and/or includes plagiarism.	
	10	8	7	6	5	